STATE OF NEVADA 1 LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD 2 CLARK COUNTY, 3 Petitioner, 4 CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY ITEM NO. 356-B INVESTIGATORS ASSOCIATION (CCDAIA),) 5 Applicant, CASE NO. A1-045585 6 vs. 7 NEVADA SERVICE EMPLOYEES ORDER UNION/SEIU LOCAL 1107, 8 Recognized Bargaining Agent. 9 For Petitioner: Cheryl Miller, Director of Personnel 10 For Applicant: Ulrich W. Smith, Esq. 11 For Recognized 12 Bargaining Agent: James Varga, Esg. VAN BOURG, WEINBERG, ROGER & ROSENFELD 13 Pursuant to the Board's Order of June 30, 1995 (Item No. 14 356) and the Board's Order of September 7, 1995 (Item No. 356-15 A), on October 4, 1995, the Board heard oral argument and 16 evidence regarding the matters designated as Case No. A1-17 The parties did not provide a court reporter and 045585. 18 explicitly waived the taking of a verbatim transcript of these 19 proceedings. 20 Pursuant to the Board's deliberations at its meeting of 21 October 4, 1995, noticed pursuant to Nevada's Open Meeting Law, 22 THE BOARD HEREBY ORDERS AND DECLARES: 23 (1) NRS 281.0315, special That, pursuant to 24 investigators and investigators employed by a district 25 attorney have the powers of a peace officer; ie., they are law 26 enforcement officers. 27 28

(2) That, pursuant to NRS 288.140 (3), a law enforcement
 officer may be a member of an employee organization only if
 such employee organization is composed exclusively of law
 enforcement officers.

(3) That the Nevada Service Employees Union/SEIU Local 5 1107 (the recognized bargaining agent) is not an "employee 6 organization...composed exclusively of law enforcement 7 officers." Accordingly, as a matter of law, special 8 investigators and investigators employed by the district 9 attorney may not be a member of Nevada Service Employees 10 Union/SEIU Local 1107. 11

1995, the Clark County District (4) On April 25, 12 Investigators Association Attorney (CCDAIA) filed an 13 application for recognition as the exclusive bargaining agent 14 for a bargaining unit consisting of Clark County District 15 Attorney Investigators. The application appears to be proper 16 and in accordance with the requirements of NRS 288.160 and 17 Clark County District Attorney Investigators appear to possess 18 the requisite community of interest to constitute an 19 appropriate bargaining unit for negotiating purposes, pursuant 20 to NRS 288.170 (1). 21

(5) Upon receipt of the application for recognition from
CCDAIA, Clark County petitioned the Board for a hearing "to
challenge the sufficiency of the application" and "(t)o
preserve any and all rights which the County may have",
pursuant to NAC 288.143.

2

27

28

By Order dated June 30, 1995 (Item No. 356), the Board 1 ordered all parties (Petitioner, Applicant and Recognized 2 Bargaining Agent) to file briefs with the Board addressing the 3 following: 4 (1) The legal and factual basis supporting or refuting 5 the respective bargaining units being proposed by the Applicant (CCDAIA) and Recognized Bargaining 6 Agent (Local 1107) for law enforcement employees of the County. 7 The alleged community of interest or lack thereof (2) 8 between District Attorney Investigators and the other law enforcement employees who are currently 9 within the bargaining unit represented by Local 1107. 10 The legal and factual basis supporting or refuting (3) 11 the right of Local 1107, vis-a-vis the right of represent CCDAIA, to District Attorney 12 Investigators and/or law enforcement employees of the County. 13 No brief was filed by the County (Petitioner). 14 By Order dated September 7, 1995 (Item No. 356-A), the 15 Board notified the parties that on October 4, 1995, the Board 16 would hear oral argument and/or evidence regarding the matters 17 which were to have been briefed pursuant to its Order 18 designated as Item No. 356. This hearing was conducted as 19 scheduled, during which the County offered no argument or 20 evidence to challenge the sufficiency of CCDAIA's application 21 for recognition. Further, the County, which is statutorily 22 responsible for determining (after consultation with the 23 recognized organization or organizations) which group or 24 groups of its employees constitute an appropriate unit or 25 units for negotiating purposes [pursuant to NRS 288.170(1)], 26 27 3 28

indicated that it was "neutral" regarding the representation 1 dispute between CCDAIA and NSEU/SEIU Local 1107, and it's only 2 objection of substance consisted of an expression of general 3 opposition to a "proliferation of bargaining units". Under 4 these circumstances, the Board finds no basis under statute or 5 case law for denying CCDAIA's application for recognition as 6 the exclusive representative for a bargaining unit consisting 7 of Clark County District Attorney Investigators. Accordingly, 8 it (CCDAIA) shall be recognized immediately, pursuant to NRS 9 288.160(2). 10 Each side is to bear its own costs and attorney's fees in 11 the matter addressed hereinabove. 12 DATED this Branday of DOURM 1995. 13 LOCAL GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE-14 MANAGEMENT RELATIONS BOARD 15 16 BY VOISIN, Chairman 17 18 Mara Baringo BY Chairman 19 20 GOLDWATER. 21 22 23 24 25

356B-4

4

26

27

28